United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/570,736	03/07/2006	Carsten Schellenberg	CO2522947APCT	8745
324 JoAnn Villami	7590 01/02/2008		EXAMINER	
Ciba Corporation/Patent Department 540 White Plains Road P.O. Box 2005			MULCAHY, PETER D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Tarrytown, NY 10591			1796	
			MAN DATE	DEL IVERY MODE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/570,736	SCHELLENBERG ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Peter D. Mulcahy	1796	
Period fo	 The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply 	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Oct</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) □ 8) □ Applicati 9) □	Claim(s) 1-18 and 20-23 is/are pending in the at 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-18 and 20-23 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access	r election requirement.	Examiner.	
11)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te	

Application/Control Number:

10/570,736

Art Unit: 1796

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-18 and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haremza et al. US 6,214,929.
- 3. The rejection set forth under 35 USC 103 in the paper mailed 7/2/07 is deemed proper and is herein repeated.
- 4. The newly amended claims and the remarks filed in support thereof have been fully considered but have been found not persuasive.
- 5. The claims have been amended to recite that the stabilizer is present in an amount greater than "100 parts of stabilizer per 100 parts of carrier." This limitation is argued as distinguishing from the art which is alleged to teach the addition of 9.09% to 50% of stabilizer to polymer. This is not persuasive.
- 6. The art is no way limited to a maximum amount of 50% stabilizer relative to polymer. This is the normal and preferred amount. There is no teaching of any disadvantage realized when using a greater amount of stabilizer relative to polymer. As such, using greater amounts of stabilizer is prima facie obvious.
- 7. This limitation is further met when mixtures of polymers are used consistent with the disclosure at column 12 lines 31. As drafted, the claims require the stabilizer to be present in an amount greater than the amount of the "carrier polymer." This is a single

10/570,736

Art Unit: 1796

polymer. When the art describes mixtures of polymers, the amount of a single polymer in the mixture, reading on the "carrier polymer," meets the polymer limitation. When the stabilizer is used in an amount greater than the amount of the single polymer in the mixture then this limitation is anticipated. This is to say that polymers A and B are mixed in an 80 parts A -20 parts B system. The stabilizer is used in an amount of 25 parts. The amount of stabilizer relative to polymer B anticipates the claim limitation.

Once again, mixtures of polymers are disclosed in the art at column12 lines 31+.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter D. Mulcahy whose telephone number is 571-272-1107. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8-4:30.

10/570,736

Art Unit: 1796

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Peter D. Mulcahy/ Peter D. Mulcahy Primary Examiner Art Unit 1796

12/16/07 9.